61

Bangalore,
18th January 1923.

To

F. Williamson, Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary to the Hon'ble
the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

9(2)

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. C. 2303/539, dated the 3rd January 1923, on the subject of charges debitable to the Bangalore Assigned Tract Revenues.

2. It is stated in the communication that the Inchcape Committee have suggested that, in view of the expansion of the surplus and the increase of work in the Residency office in connection with the administration of the Tract, a large propotion of the available funds should be utilised for meeting Imperial expenditure on the Residency office and establishments. In pursuance of this proposal, it is also suggested that 7/8th instead of 1/3rd of the pay of the Residency Surgeon, and his establishment would be a fair proportion to be borne by the pro forma account of the Tract.

3. In the first place, the Government of Mysore would observe that the share of Residency charges to be borne by the Tract has not had, and should not have, any relation to the size of the actual Surpluses. The existing arrangement is based upon the decision arrived at in 1912. when the proportion of charges to be paid by the several parties was fixed with reference to the share of attention devoted by the Residency and the connected establishments to the work relating to the Tract, Coorg, and the Residency proper.

4. The Mysore Government represented in para 9

of the Memorandum forwarded wi
D.O. 2457 dated 21st June
that no share of the Resider
reasons urged, be debited to ~~pro forma~~ account
Station at all. The Government of India, however, considered that 1/3rd of these charges should be borne by the account vide para 3 of Mr. Warburton's letter No. 4076 dated 17th July 1912.

5. The questions which determined the assessment of the shares to be borne by the different accounts have not altered since, and the Government of Mysore are not, therefore, able to understand why a larger share than obtains at present should be debited to the pro forma account of the Tract. It would be a new principle altogether to require the Tract to bear a larger fraction simply because of the fact that the Surplus have been larger for some time past.

6. The adoption of this principle would mean that the Imperial Government would be relieved of their proper share of the charges as already defined, and such an arrangement would be inconsistent with the assurance so clearly conveyed in para 4 ofthe same letter of Mr. Warburton that the Government of India had no intention of benefiting the Imperial Exchequer at the cost of the revenues of the Bangalore Assigned Tract.

7. The second reason urged in support of the proposal is that there is increase of work in the Residency office in connection with the administration of the Tract. It is not clear how it has been concluded that the increase of work in the Residency is in connection with the administration of the Tract alone. What is more probable is that there has been an increase of work in his office as in the case of all Government offices, and the excess expenditure has to be borne by all the parties concerned. To impose on

5

W. L.

Stan the ~~Rickstand~~. Dr Pears

Bangalore

Many Marks your last letter
was in your two days ago. Hope
I see you in Bangalore 9th or 10th
this Sykes as his Mysore 8th
Morris - Mirza

correspondence -

Lefroy, Mirza
Sewell ~~Lord~~, Barton
W.S. Wood, Banerji.
D.V. Gundappa,
Dr. Brajendra Nath
Seal & Ph.D.

(8th) 1.35